

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,631	03/28/2006	Giovanni Nicolosi	3652	5667	
7590 11/17/2908 Striker Striker & Stenby			EXAMINER		
103 East Neck Road			RAMSEY, JEREMY C		
Huntington, N	Y 11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) NICOLOSI, GIOVANNI 10/573.631

Art Unit JEREMY C. RAMSEY  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) JEREMY C. RAMSEY.  (3)	Interview Summary							
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) \( \textit{JEREMY C. RAMSEY.} \)  (3) \(	merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
(3)		JEREMY C. RAMSEY	3634					
(2) Mr. I. Zborovsky.  Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □  Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Myers et al. 3.186.473.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim 1 with respect to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it mav read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Gee MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, 1 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference cold Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐	(1) <u>JEREMY C. RAMSEY</u> .	(3)						
Type: a)  Telephonic b  Video Conference c	(2) Mr. I. Zborovsky.	(4)						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   e)   No. If Yes, brief description:   Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Myers et al 3.186.473.  Agreement with respect to the claims f)   was reached. g)   was not reached. h)   N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim 1 with respe to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.							
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1.  Identification of prior art discussed: Myers et al 3,186,473.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly emmended claim 1 with respet to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]							
Identification of prior art discussed: Myers et al 3,186,473.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim twith respect to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FORM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, 1 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		e)⊠ No.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim twith respect to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FORM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, 1 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim 1 with respe to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, THE REPLEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Myers et al 3,186,473.							
reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim 1 with respet to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable, but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLINS DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, 1 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	j)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, 1 FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative wished to discuss newly ammended claim 1 with respect</u> to the prior art used, and point out differences between them. <u>Examiner made no agreement on whether the claim was patentable</u> , but stated that it may read over the prior art used, but would require a further search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims							
Alexenv C Banssel	THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview							
	/Jeremy C Ramsey/	/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/	25					
Examiner, Art Unit 3634 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634			nit 3634					